

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,494	05/16/2006	Jeffrey Bruce McGeorge	CULLP0193US	9315
23908 7550 08/04/2009 RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			EXAMINER	
1621 EUCLID AVENUE NINETEENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary 10/561,494 MCGEORGE, JEFFREY BRUCE Examiner Art Unit Michael M. Thompson 3629

Application No.

Applicant(s)

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In co event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or estended period for reply with Us statute, cause the application to become MANDONED (25 USC, § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.74012 m.
Status
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2009.
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information-Disclosure-Statement(e) (PTO/627/02) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Nettor-at Informal Pate of Application. 6) Other:	
C. Databased Tradesian Differ		

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 35(1a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Joao (US 2003/0224854).
- 3. With respect to claim 1, Joao teaches a method of monitoring changes in an information set of wagering information relating to wagers placed on the outcome of a sporting contest, the method comprising the steps of: a. entering into an agreement with a service provider to provide real time monitoring service of changes in an information set of wagering information relating to wagers placed on the outcome of a sporting contest (i.e. explicitly at least via paragraph [0506] and further implicit if not inherent in the function/operation of the prior art); b. receiving wagering information relating to wagers placed by others on the outcome of a sporting contest on a network of computers in real-time (i.e. at least via paragraphs [0008], [0025], [0057], [0077], [0081], [0255], [0425] and passim wherein real-time information is mentioned at least at [0256], passim); c. receiving on a network of computers, instructions from a user specifying criteria including at least a sporting contest (i.e. at least via paragraphs [0056] and/or

Application/Control Number: 10/561,494

Art Unit: 3629

[0423] and throughout implying that users set criteria), and a change in the wagering information within a discrete time step prior to the commencement of the sporting contest, the criteria signifying the occurrence of a change in the wagering information significant to the user (i.e. at least via paragraphs [0008], [0257], [0425] for the proposition that the information the user elects to monitor includes changes in the odds prior to commencement of an event); d. comparing the criteria to the wagering information in real-time to determine when a change in the wagering information significant to the user occurs (i.e. again at least via paragraphs [0008], [0025-0026], [0057], [0077], [0081], [0255] [0257], [0425] for the proposition that in determining the alert it is inherent if not implicit that the user criteria is compared to the real-time data); and e. causing a real-time alert message to be issued by an output service associated with the network of computers to a remote communications device (RCD) of the user upon the occurrence of the change in the wagering information within the discrete time step prior to the commencement of the sporting contest as real-time changes in the wagering information occur, the real-time alert message directed to the remote communications device of the user and confirming the occurrence of the change within the discrete time step (i.e. at least via paragraphs [0008], [0080-0082], [0135], [0236], passim).

4. **With respect to claim 2,** Joao teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, including the service provider utilising a "totalisor agency database" to monitor the wagering information (i.e. at least via [0029], [0081], [0259], passim).

Art Unit: 3629

5. With respect to claim 3, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, including the remote communications device (RCD) comprises fixed or mobile telephone, a personal computing device or a facsimile or pager of the user (i.e. at least via paragraph (0026), passim).

- 6. With respect to claim 4, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, including the inherent teaching of a user having an RCD that has a software component (i.e. via at least the communication interface Para. [0285]) which can be used to send an input command to a software environment that is running on the network of computer systems of the service provider (i.e. via at least Para, [0285] when the user/client/principal interacts via the communication device for use with the prior art invention) in response to the input command the software environment sends a local input command to a software environment component that processes the command (i.e. the inherent operation with the wagering application as described in the prior art in any function) and which responds by issuing a local output command to a server infrastructure which in turn sends a remote output command to the RCD (i.e. similarly inherent in computer transmission facilitated in the prior art), and in response to a remote output commands, the RCD issues or displays an alert output (i.e. at least via the usage of the interface for setting criteria and/or alerts wherein the alerts are outputted via the communication device interface for the user to receive).
- With respect to claim 5, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, including the user can define criteria defining a situation in which alert messages are to be issued

Art Unit: 3629

(i.e. again at least via paragraphs [0008], [0025-0026], [0056-0057], [0077], [0080-0082], [135], [236], [0255] [0257], [0423-0425]).

- 8. With respect to claim 6, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, including the service provider using a network of computers or computer systems to monitor the wagering information (i.e. again at least via paragraph [0046] for the proposition that the functions of this prior art reference, such as monitoring and sending alerts may occur over networks or computer systems).
- 9. With respect to claim 7, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claims 1 and 5, including the network of computers adapted to send and receive information to and from a totalisator agency data server which contains real time information regarding the wagering information. (i.e. rejected under a similar rationale of that of claim 6 for the proposition that an embodiment containing several networks or computer systems will still utilize the "agencies" database in order to access the wager information). Please note that networks are inherently capable or adaptable to allow for sending and receiving information to all types of servers and MPEP 2111.04 for the proposition that claim scope is not limited by claim language that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed, or by claim language that does not limit a claim to a particular structure.
- 10. With respect to claim 8, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claim 1, further comprising the step of providing at least one history server, to store the wagering information in a database to prevent the need to request the same information numerous times. (i.e. at least via database server supplying information at [0029],

Art Unit: 3629

[0079] and/or passim, many of which carry both current and past information of both the wagering information and prior results or outcomes.)

11. With respect to claim 10, Joao teaches all of the limitations of claims 1 and 8, including the user communicating to the service provider at least one analysis criteria upon which alert messages are to be sent. (i.e. again at least via paragraphs [0008], [0025-0026], [0056-0057], [0077], [0080-0082], [135], [236], [0255] [0257], [0423-0425]).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 13. The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record, within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.
- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Publication number 2008/0274802 and NPL of the website www.youbet.com more than a year earlier of applicants effective filing date reciting a

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/561,494

Art Unit: 3629

betting website providing several of the services claimed. Please note that several other references originally recited in the PCT search report may also be applicable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael M. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am-5:30 except Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael M Thompson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/JOHN G. WEISS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629